

OPERATION IMPALA ----- PRIVACY BREACHED BY GOVERNMENT AGENCIES.

1. The issue for my inclusion for this operation and public forum is
 - A. The Queensland Police Service entered into a Formal Commercial Agreement on behalf of a Queensland citizen without his consent or a court document to give Commissioner Ian Stewart authorization to make this decision on behalf of another person – to include a person in an international documentary without consent. Documents available for evidence.
 - B. The Queensland Police Service gave the personal and private information of a Queensland citizen – being the **gopro video** from an officer's vest—deemed to private details of a person via the QPS web site – to an international media company to promote and televise a documentary of over 5minutes, without permission from the person or application to the RTI approval to release personal and private sensitive. Documents available for evidence.
 - C. The Documentary on National geographic included advertising and the QPS had prime place advertising for McDonalds on the Police uniforms. Making this a FORMAL COMMERCIAL AGREEMENT WITH CONSENT
 - D. QPS took a media crew to the home address, this was a family home and not a rental property as I was advised by the QPS, thus supplying a private address being personal information. Breach of Privacy.
 - E. The very distinguishable car was also aired on the documentary which led to The QLD citizen being identifiable by many, Australia wide who knew him. A full front view of the home and only slightly blurred facial recognition. First time aired without his face being blurred. His face was blurred only after initial complaints.

I believe this to be a breach of privilege and a gross invasion of privacy taken by the QPS at the expense of a persons and family's future. No person, other than court approved by a Power of Attorney, can make a decision on issues to effect the future of another person in Qld.

The implications on the future of this person is not only for him but his family and children. Yes he made a mistake and he is paying the penalty but this is a life sentence for him and his children. (imagine if his children tried to enter politics) National geographic had stated " the QPS gave the information and entered into the FORMAL AGREEMENT with full knowledge of the intended use. They will not remove the video from UTUBE with over 5.5 million views. This has been used against his children , his son was bullied at school. Has been moved over 1000 km away.

Many people make mistakes, this video documentary was aired prior to him having his court dates and in my opinion interfered with his defence. It has been used against him.

The CCC were contacted on many occasions and handed it to the QPS ethical standards and they LIED to me and ignored the complaint. They sent a very washy letter that again was inaccurate. I went back to CCC on multiple occasions and they again sent it to QPS ethical standards. Back and forward until they hope you get tired and stop.

I have documentation on all aspects of the complaints, emails, court document, qps documents and all of this has been dismissed by QPS and CCC. There is a major CONFLICT OF INTEREST IN THE QPS - again CCC sent to QPS ethical standards and dismissed. Again Complaint to CCC.

I am told repeatedly the CCC are the governing body for QPS.....my complaints are numerous THE VIDEO HAS RAMIFICATIONS ON MANY LEVELS:

- Deliberate lack of duty of care for two children aged 1 and 4
- Not arresting a person who has committed a crime for a period of 11 months while they set up for filming a documentary
- Taking an international media company to a private house
- Releasing a video evidence internationally prior to have appeared in court
- Major conflict of interest by a high ranking QPS office
- Direct lying by a superintendent witnessed by QPS by ethical standards and ignored
- Advertising revenue on an international level at the expense of the future of a QLD family,
- all done without consent or compensation.

The Video documentary was solely for the egos of the QPS. There was no policing benefits or community benefits to expose a QLD citizen to this humiliation other than QPS self gratification. They also did an interview outside the house and held up a plastic and toy gun, know to be fake, yet implying he was charged with this offence.

IN MY OPINION THE QPS SHOULD BE MADE PERSONABLY ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE PRIVATE INFOMRATION THEY HAVE ACCESS TO LIKE EVERY OTHER BUSINESS AND PERSON. THIS EFFECTS PEOPLES LIVES IS EMMENCE.

Ian Stewart may be retired but he is still responsible for the Invasion of Privacy he authorized PERSONALLY as he had no legal right to make this decision on behalf of another person. We have privacy laws and they are listed on the QPS web site. These breach of the QPS policy should make the QPS accountable for their actions.

This is a gross invasion of privacy by the agencies we should be able to trust.

I have documents from RTI proving that the application and authorization which by the QPS is mandatory to release any personal and private sensitive material--- these applications "do not exist" .

Who governs the QPS to make them responsible to handle this private information? In this case not the QPS ethical standards or the CCC.

I can produce all the documents and am happy to meet with your department at any time

The advise from the Minister for Police Mark Ryan, is we should seek Legal Advise, my understanding of this is "the Government is not accountable if we do not have the monetary funds to fight this in the courts. "

They can do anything they want with Queenslander's personal information.
"

Vicki Lewis

